

**ENGS101P – ENGINEERING CHALLENGES**

**Team Contribution – Peer Assessment Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | **No Submission** | **Poor** | **Satisfactory** | **Good** |
| **Teamwork and Communication.** | *No submission /participation* | **Not informing others of problems. Not putting ideas forward. Refusal to work around others schedules.** | **Responds well when spoken to but failure to put forward own ideas. Accommodating of others schedules.** | **Maintains good communication ensuring all members are on the same page. Can easily convey ideas and will happily work around other peoples schedules.** |
| **Organisation and preparedness** | *No submission /participation* | **Failure to bring equipment and in ability manage the resources** | **Brings bare essentials and relies on others to organise. Self-organisation that is not clear to others.** | **Comes prepared with full equipment and anticipates future requirements. Organised in a manner that is easy for others to understand.** |
| **Problem Solving and adaptability** | *No submission /participation* | **Not contributing to solving problems when they are presented. Unable to adapt when plans change.** | **Not actively seeking solutions but when a problem is raised will attempt to solve and willing to adapt to plans if asked to do so.** | **When presented with an issue will confidently attempt to solve. Happy to adapt to plan changes and will put forward suggestions and counter proposals.** |
| **Ability to meet deadlines** | *No submission /participation* | **Consistently fails to meet deadlines and does not manage to complete work expected of them.** | **Mostly meets deadlines and does what is expected of them to a reasonable standard.** | **Consistently meets deadlines and completes work expected of them, work completed is to a high standard if not exceeding what was expected of them.** |